OTRA-BASED MULTI-FUNCTION INVERSE FILTER CONFIGURATION

Abdhesh Kumar SINGH¹, Ashish GUPTA², Raj SENANI³

¹Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Delhi Technical Campus, Knowledge Park-III 28/1, 201308 Greater Noida, India

²Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, I.T.S Engineering College,

Knowledge Park-III 46, 201308 Greater Noida, India

³Division of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology, Azad Hind Fauz Marg, Sector 3, Dwarka, 110078 New Delhi, India

abdheshks@yahoo.com, ashishguptaas@its.edu.in, senani@ieee.org

DOI: 10.15598/aeee.v15i5.2572

Abstract. A new OTRA-based multifunction inverse filter configuration is presented which is capable of realizing low pass, high pass and band pass filters using only two OTRAs and five to six passive elements. To the best knowledge of the authors, any inverse filter configuration using OTRAs has not been reported in the literature earlier. The effect of the major parasitics of the OTRAs and their effect on the performance of the filter have been investigated and measured through simulation results and Monte-Carlo analysis. The workability of the proposed circuits has been confirmed by SPICE simulations using CMOS-based-OTRA realizable in 0.18 µm CMOS technology. The proposed circuits are the only ones, which provide simultaneously the following features: use of reasonable number of active elements (only 2), realizability of all the three basic filter functions, employment of all virtually grounded resistors and capacitors and tunability of all filter parameters (except gain factor, H_0 for inverse high pass). The centre/cut-off frequency of the various filter circuits lying in the vicinity of 1 MHz have been found to be realizable, which has been verified through SPICE simulation results and have been found to be in good agreement with the theoretical results.

Keywords

Analogue signal processing, inverse active filters, Operational Transresistance Amplifier.

1. Introduction

Inverse filters are important from the view point of some applications in the areas of Communication, Control and Instrumentation Systems where the distortion of the signal, caused by the signal processor or transmission systems, can be corrected by inverse filters, the frequency response of which is the reciprocal of the frequency response of the signal processors or transmission systems which were responsible for creating the undesired distortion in the system.

In digital systems, there are well known methods for the realization of inverse filters, however, in the continuous-time case, only a few methods/circuits have so far been proposed for the realization of inverse filters such as those in [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17] and [18]. It is, therefore, useful to take a stock of the existing works in this area so that the present proposals can be seen in the right perspective.

In [1], a general method for obtaining inverse transfer function for linear dynamic systems and the inverse transfer characteristics of nonlinear resistive systems using nullors as basic building block were presented. In [2], a procedure for transforming voltage-mode opamp-based RC filter into a current mode, Four Terminal Floating Nullors (FTFN)-based inverse filter was given. In [3], another general procedure for the realization of FTFN-based inverse filter from the welldeveloped voltage-mode filters was presented. In [4], Abuelma'atti used a single FTFN to realize many filters including an inverse filter. In [5], Gupta, Bhaskar, Senani and Singh presented four new configurations which realize inverse low pass, band pass, high pass and band reject filters using AD844-type Current Feedback Operational Amplifiers (CFOA). In [6], Gupta, Bhaskar and Senani presented a number of new configurations that realize inverse LP, HP, and BR filters using CFOAs which offer the properties superior to the previously known inverse filters. In [7], a Current-Differencing Buffered Amplifier (CDBA)-based universal inverse filter which realizes five types of inverse filters was proposed. In [8], a general configuration, derived from the circuit of Fig. 1 of [5], was presented by Wang, Chang, Yang and Tsai from which low pass, band pass and high pass inverse filters were shown to be realizable by appropriate choices (resistive or capacitive) of the various circuit admittances. In [9] and [10] inverse all-pass filters using Current-Differencing Transconductance Amplifier (CDTA) and CCII were proposed. In [11] Herenscar, Lahiri, Koton and Vrba presented a configuration using three Differential Difference Current Conveyors (DDCCs), which realizes inverse low pass, band pass and high pass filter. In [12] Garg, Bhagat and Jain presented a novel multifunction inverse filter using three modified CFOAs, which normally required nine CFOAs. In [13], Leuciuc proposed a method for realization of inverse systems for circuits employing nullor for applications in chaos synchronization. In [14] Tsukutani, Sumi and Yabuki presented realization of inverse low pass, high pass and band pass filters using five/six Multiple Output Operational Transconductance Amplifiers (MOTAs) in operating both voltage and current-mode. In [15], Patil and Sharma have reported inverse filter realized using two CFOAs. In [17], Yuce, Tokat, Minaei and Cicekoglu reported low-component count insensitive current and voltage-mode PID using three current-conveyors, PI and PD controllers employing two currentconveyors. Nasir and Ahmed in [18] have presented multi function inverse filter realization using two CD-BAs.

From the survey of the existing literature as detailed above, it has been found that so far, no universal inverse filters have been proposed using the Operational Transresistance Amplifiers (OTRAs) as an active element. Therefore, the main aim of this paper is to propose a generalized universal inverse filter configuration using OTRAs which can realize low pass, high pass and band pass filters from the same configuration as special cases.

2. A Brief Overview of OTRA and Its Applications

The OTRA which is a differential Current-Controlled Voltage-Source (CCVS), can be symbolically shown as in Fig. 1 and is characterized by the following terminal equation and matrix characterization:

$$V_O = R_m \left(s \right) \left(I_P - I_n \right). \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_p \\ V_n \\ V_o \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_m & -R_m & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ I_n \\ I_o \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2)

The OTRA has received prominent attention in analog circuit literature for more than two decades because of its important property of providing a virtual ground at both of its input terminals, which eliminates the effect of parasitics at both the input terminals. On the other hand, its low output impedance enables the OTRA-based circuits to be cascaded easily. As a consequence, the use of OTRA has been investigated in the realization of numerous functional circuits so far such as biquad filters [19] and [20], immittance simulators [23], [24], [25], [26], [39] and [42] oscillators [21], [22] and [23], square/triangular waveform generators [27] and [29], monostable/astable multivibrators [28], all pass filters [32] and [33], analog multiplier/divider [30], PID controller [40] and [41], etc.



Fig. 1: Symbolic representation of an OTRA.

Several CMOS implementations of the OTRAs have also been advanced in the literature, for instance, see [34], [35], [36], [37] and [38] and the references cited therein. On the other hand, an implementation of the OTRA using two AD844-type CFOAs has also been popularly employed by several researchers.

3. The Proposed Configuration

The proposed new generalized structure for realizing inverse low-pass, inverse high-pass and band-pass filters as special cases, using two OTRAs and five admittances is shown in Fig. 2.

From a straightforward analysis, the transfer function of the circuit of Fig. 2 has been found to be:

$$\frac{V_0}{V_{in}} = \frac{Y_1 Y_3 + Y_2 Y_4}{Y_2 Y_5}.$$
(3)

If we choose the various admittances as $Y_1 = sC_1$, $Y_2 = \frac{1}{R_2}$, $Y_3 = sC_2 + \frac{1}{R_3}$, $Y_4 = \frac{1}{R_4}$ and $Y_5 = \frac{1}{R_5}$ the



Fig. 2: The proposed generalized structure for realizing inverse filters.

resulting transfer function as given by:

$$\frac{V_0}{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{\frac{\frac{R_4}{R_5} \left(\frac{1}{C_1 C_2 R_2 R_4}\right)}{s^2 + s \left(\frac{1}{C_2 R_3}\right) + \frac{1}{C_1 C_2 R_2 R_4}}},$$
(4)

which represents an inverse low pass filter with various parameters given by:

$$\omega_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_{1}C_{2}R_{2}R_{4}}},
Q = R_{3}\sqrt{\frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}R_{2}R_{4}}}
(H_{O})_{ILP} = \frac{R_{4}}{R_{5}}.$$
(5)

If the admittances are chosen, such that $Y_1 = \frac{1}{R_1}$, $Y_2 = sC_2$, $Y_3 = \frac{1}{R_3}$, $Y_4 = sC_4 + \frac{1}{R_4}$ and $Y_5 = \frac{1}{R_5}$, then we obtain the transfer function that represents an inverse band pass filter:

$$\frac{V_0}{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{\frac{\left(\frac{R_4}{R_5}\right)s\left(\frac{1}{C_4R_4}\right)}{s^2 + s\left(\frac{1}{C_4R_4}\right) + \frac{1}{C_2C_4R_1R_3}}}.$$
(6)

The Inverse band pass filter parameters are given by:

$$\omega_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_{2}C_{4}R_{1}R_{3}}},
BW = \frac{1}{C_{4}R_{4}},
(H_{O})_{IBP} = \frac{R_{4}}{R_{5}}.$$
(7)

Lastly, if the admittances are chosen as $Y_1 = \frac{1}{R_1}$, $Y_2 = sC_2$, $Y_3 = \frac{1}{R_3}$, $Y_4 = sC_4 + \frac{1}{R_4}$ and $Y_5 = sC_5$

then the resulting transfer function represents the realization of an inverse high pass filter given by:

$$\frac{V_0}{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{\frac{s^2}{s^2 + s\left(\frac{1}{C_4 R_4}\right) + \frac{1}{C_2 C_4 R_1 R_3}}},$$
(8)
for $C_4 = C_5$.

The various parameter values in this case are given by:

$$\omega_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_{1}C_{2}R_{2}R_{4}}},
Q = R_{3}\sqrt{\frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}R_{2}R_{4}}},
(H_{O})_{IHP} = 1.$$
(9)

From Eq. (5), Eq. (7) and Eq. (9), it can be seen that most of the relevant parameters of the frequency response of the inverse low pass, band pass and high pass filters, can be independently adjusted through various resistances. Since all the circuits resulting from the proposed structure employ virtual grounded resistors and capacitors, they are suitable from the view point of IC implementation.

4. Non-Ideal Analysis

Ideally, the transresistance gain $R_m(s)$ of an OTRA approaches infinity and forces the two input currents to be equal. However, practically, the transresistance gain $R_m(s)$ is finite and its effect therefore needs to be considered. The one-pole model of the OTRA is given by:

$$R_m(s) = \frac{R_{mo}}{1 + \frac{s}{\omega_o}} = \frac{R_{mo}\omega_o}{s + \omega_o} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\frac{s}{R_{mo}\omega_o} + \frac{1}{R_{mo}}}.$$
(10)

For middle frequencies, the transresistance gain $R_m(s)$ can be approximated as:

$$R_{mo} \to \infty, R_m(s) \cong \frac{1}{sC_p},$$
 (11)

where, R_{mo} is the DC open-loop transresistance gain, ω_o is the transresistance cut-off frequency and C_p is the parasitic capacitance (the parasitic capacitance C_p appears at the high impedance node between the output of the Current Differencing Unit and the input of the voltage buffer of the OTRA architecture; for instance see node 'N' in the CMOS OTRA of Fig. 3). On the other hand, the more elaborate two-pole model of the OTRA is normally expressed as:

$$R_m(s) = \frac{R_o}{\left(1 + \frac{s}{\omega_{p1}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{s}{\omega_{p2}}\right)},\tag{12}$$

which can be can be modified and rewritten as:

$$R_m(s) = \frac{1}{C_p\left(s + \frac{1}{C_p R_o}\right)\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{p2}} + 1\right)}.$$
 (13)

In this case also, for frequencies lying in the range: $\omega_{p1} \ll \omega \ll \omega_{p2}$, Eq. (13) can be approximated as:

$$R_m(s) \cong \frac{1}{sC_p}, \text{ where } C_p = \frac{1}{R_o\omega_o}.$$
 (14)

A straightforward analysis of the proposed generalized structure of Fig. 2, using Eq. (11) results in the non-ideal transfer function of the circuit given by the following expression:

$$\frac{V_o}{V_{in}} = \frac{sC_pY_4 + (Y_1Y_3 + Y_2Y_4)}{s^2C_p^2 + sC_p(Y_2 + Y_5) + Y_2Y_5}.$$
(15)

If we choose the admittances as $Y_1 = sC_1$, $Y_2 = \frac{1}{R_2}$, $Y_3 = sC_2 + \frac{1}{R_3}$, $Y_4 = \frac{1}{R_4}$ and $Y_5 = \frac{1}{R_5}$, we obtain the non-ideal transfer function as:

$$\frac{V_0}{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{\frac{s^2 C_p^2}{C_1 C_2} + \frac{s C_p \left(R_2 + R_5\right)}{C_1 C_2 R_2 R_5} + \frac{R_4}{R_5} \left(\frac{1}{C_1 C_2 R_2 R_4}\right)}{s^2 + s \left(\frac{C_1 R_4 + C_p R_3}{C_1 C_2 R_3 R_4}\right) + \frac{1}{C_1 C_2 R_2 R_4}}$$
(16)

Neglecting the terms involving s^2 and s (since in general, the product $C_1 C_2$ would be much larger than C_p^2 and C_p), we can approximate the transfer function of Eq. (16) as:

$$\frac{V_0}{V_{in}} \cong \frac{1}{\frac{R_4}{R_5} \left(\frac{1}{C_1 C_2 R_2 R_4}\right)} \cdot (17)$$
$$\frac{s^2 + \frac{s}{C_2 R_3} \left(1 + \frac{C_p R_3}{C_1 R_4}\right) + \frac{1}{C_1 C_2 R_2 R_4}$$

The non-ideal inverse low pass filter parameters are given by:

$$\hat{\omega}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_{1}C_{2}R_{2}R_{4}}},$$

$$\hat{Q}_{0} = Q_{0} \left(\frac{C_{1}R_{4}}{C_{1}R_{4} + C_{P}R_{3}}\right),$$

$$\left(\hat{H}_{o}\right)_{ILP} = \frac{R_{4}}{R_{5}}.$$
(18)

From the above expressions, we conclude that the non-ideal cut-off frequency and the gain are not affected due to the non-ideal behavior of OTRA but the Q_0 is affected and the non-ideal Q_0 is given as:

$$\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0 \left(\frac{C_1 R_4}{C_1 R_4 + C_P R_3} \right).$$
(19)

From the above, the percentage error in Q_0 is given by:

$$\left(\frac{\hat{Q}_0 - Q_0}{Q_0}\right) \cdot 100 =$$

$$= -\left(\frac{C_p R_3}{C_p R_3 + C_1 R_4}\right) \cdot 100.$$
(20)

Similarly, if the admittances are chosen, such that $Y_1 = \frac{1}{R_1}$, $Y_2 = sC_2$, $Y_3 = \frac{1}{R_3}$, $Y_4 = sC_4 + \frac{1}{R_4}$ and $Y_5 = \frac{1}{R_5}$, then we obtain the non-ideal transfer function of the inverse band pass filter given by:

$$\frac{\frac{V_0}{V_{in}}}{\frac{1}{s^2 + s\left(\frac{1}{C_4 R_4}\right) + \frac{1}{C_2 C_4 R_1 R_3 \left(1 + \frac{C_p}{C_2}\right)}}}.$$
(21)

From Eq. (21) the non-ideal inverse band pass filter parameters are given by:

$$\hat{\omega}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_{2}C_{4}R_{1}R_{3}\left(1 + \frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)}},$$

$$\hat{B}W = \frac{1}{C_{2}R_{4}},$$

$$\left(\hat{H}_{o}\right)_{IBP} = \frac{R_{4}}{R_{5}}.$$
(22)

Thus, in this case only centre frequency is affected by parasitics capacitance of the OTRA. The above parameters can be further expressed as:

$$\hat{\omega}_{0} = \frac{\omega_{0}}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)}},$$

$$\hat{BW} = BW,$$

$$\left(\hat{H}_{o}\right)_{IBP} = (H_{o})_{IBP}.$$
(23)

Hence, the percentage errors in the radian frequency and Q factor are found to be as follows:

$$\left(\frac{\hat{\omega}_o - \omega_o}{\omega_o}\right) \cdot 100 = -\left(\frac{C_p}{2C_2}\right) \cdot 100.$$
 (24)

Lastly, if the admittances are chosen such that $Y_1 = \frac{1}{R_1}$, $Y_2 = sC_2$, $Y_3 = \frac{1}{R_3}$, $Y_4 = sC_4 + \frac{1}{R_4}$ and $Y_5 = sC_5$, then we obtain the non-ideal transfer function of the inverse high pass filter which can be expressed as:

$$\frac{V_0}{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{\frac{s^2 \left[C_2 C_5 + C_p \left(C_2 + C_5\right) + C_p^2\right]}{s^2 C_4 \left(C_p + C_2\right) + \frac{s C_2}{R_4} + \frac{1}{R_1 R_3}}}.$$
(25)

Neglecting the term C_p^2 we obtain the resulting transfer function as:

$$\stackrel{V_0}{\cong} \cong \frac{1}{s^2 \frac{C_5}{C_4} \left[1 + \frac{\frac{C_p}{C_2}}{\left(1 + \frac{C_p}{C_5}\right)} \right]} \frac{1}{s^2 + s \frac{1}{C_4 R_4 \left(1 + \frac{C_p}{C_2}\right)} + \frac{1}{C_2 C_4 R_1 R_3 \left(1 + \frac{C_p}{C_2}\right)}}$$
(26)

The non-ideal parameters of the inverse high pass filter are, therefore, given by:

$$\hat{\omega}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_{2}C_{4}R_{1}R_{3}\left(1 + \frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)}},$$

$$\hat{Q}_{0} = R_{4}\sqrt{\frac{C_{4}\left(1 + \frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)}{C_{2}R_{1}R_{3}}},$$

$$\left(\hat{H}_{o}\right)_{IHP} = \frac{C_{5}}{C_{4}}\left[1 + \frac{C_{p}/C_{5}}{(1 + C_{p}/C_{2})}\right].$$
(27)

Thus, the non-ideal parameters can be expressed in terms of ideal parameters, as follows:

$$\hat{\omega}_{0} = \frac{\omega_{0}}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)}},$$

$$\hat{Q}_{0} = Q_{0}\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)},$$

$$\left(\hat{H}_{o}\right)_{IHP} = (H_{o})_{IHP} \left[1 + \frac{C_{p}/C_{5}}{(1 + C_{p}/C_{2})}\right].$$
(28)

Hence, percentage errors in the filter parameters are found to be: % error in radian frequency will be:

$$\left(\frac{\hat{\omega}_0 - \omega_o}{\omega_o} \cdot 100\right) = -\left(\frac{C_p}{2C_2}\right) \cdot 100.$$
(29)

% error in the Q factor would be:

$$\left(\frac{\hat{Q}_0 - Q_0}{Q_0}\right) \cdot 100 = \left(\frac{C_p}{2C_2}\right) \cdot 100. \tag{30}$$

Lastly, the % error in the gain will be:

$$\left(\frac{\hat{H}_0 - H_o}{H_o}\right) \cdot 100 = -\frac{C_2}{C_5} \left(\frac{C_p}{C_p + C_2}\right) \cdot 100.$$
(31)

5. Comparison With Previously Published Inverse Filters

A comparative study of all the inverse filters realized using various active elements is shown in Tab. 1. From the table, a comparison of the salient features of the proposed circuits with those previously known [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [14], [15], [16] and [18] reveals that the proposed circuit configuration is the only one which provides simultaneously the following features: use of reasonable number of active elements (only 2), realizability of all the three basic filter functions, employment of all virtually grounded resistors and capacitors and tunability of all filter parameters (except gain factor, H_0 for inverse high pass).

6. SPICE Simulation and Experimental Results

The workability of the all the three special cases of the proposed configuration has been verified through SPICE simulations using CMOS OTRA as presented by Mostafa-Soliman in 2006 [31] (reproduced here in Fig. 3 with the aspect ratios of MOSFETs as given in Tab. 1 and the model parameters using 0.18 μ m CMOS technology provided by TSMC as given in Tab. 3.



Fig. 3: An exemplary CMOS realization of the OTRA as presented by Mostafa-Soliman [31].

The SPICE simulation results of Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 are seen to be consistent with the similar results obtained by other inverse filters using different devices known earlier [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10],

	No. of	Number	Type of Inverse	Grounded	
Reference	Active	of Passive	Filters Realized	/Floating	Tunability
	device	Component	(VM/CM)	Capacitors	
[1]	1	4R, 2C	IHP (VM)	2 FC	No
[2]	1	5R, 2C	ILP (VM)	1 GC, 1 FC	No
[3]	1	4R, 2C	IAP (CM)	1GC, 1 FC	No
[1]	1 (4 circuit)	2 - 4R, 2/3C	ILP, IHP, IBP, IBR, IAP (All CM)	1FC, 1/2GC	No
[5]	3	4R, 2C	ILP, IHP, IBP, IBR (VM)	2GC	Yes
[6]	3	3-5R 2C	ILP IHP IBP IBB (VM)	200	Yes,
[0]	0	0 010, 20		200	when $R_4 = R_0$
[7]	2	2-4R, 2-4C	ILP, IHP, IBP, IBR, IAP (VM)	2/4FC, $1/2$ GC	No
[8]	3	3R, 3C	ILP, IHP, IBP (VM)	3GC	No
[9]	1	1R, 1C	First Order IAP (CM)	1GC	No
[10]	1	2R, 1C	First Order IAP (VM)	1GC	No
[11]	3	2R, 2C	ILP, IBP, IHP (All VM)	2GC	No
[12]	3	2–3R, 3–4C	ILP, IBP, IHP (VM)	3/4GC	Yes
[14]	10/12 OTAs	2C	ILP, IHP, IBP (VM&CM)	2GC	Yes
[15]	2	4–6R, 2C	ILP, IHP, IBP, IBR (All VM)	1FC, 1/2GC	No
[16]	3	2R. 2C	IHP (Trans conductance)	2GC	No
[18]	2	3R, 3C	ILP, IHP, IBPF (All CM)	2GC, 1FC	No
				Capacitor	
Proposed	2	4R, 2/3C	ILP, IHP, IBP (All VM)	virtually	Yes
				grounded	
	R - Resistar	nce, C - Capacita	ance, FC - Floating Capacitor, GC - G	rounded Capacitor	

1ab. 1: Comparison with previously known circ
--

Tab. 2: Model parameters of NMOS and PMOS transistors.

Device	Model Parameters				
Type	Wodel 1 at anieters				
NMOS	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{LEVEL} = 7 \ \text{VERSION} = 3.1 \ \text{TNOM} = 27 \ \text{TOX} = 4.1\text{E-9} \ \text{XJ} = 1\text{E-7} \ \text{NCH} = 2.3549\text{E17} \ \text{VTH0} = 0.3725327 \\ \text{K1} = 0.5933684 \ \text{K2} = 2.050755\text{E} = 3 \ \text{K3} = 1\text{E} = 3 \ \text{K3B} = 4.5116437 \ \text{W0} = 1\text{E} = 7 \ \text{NLX} = 1.870758\text{E} = 7 \ \text{DVT0W} = 0 \\ \text{DVT1W} = 0 \ \text{DVT2W} = 0 \ \text{DVT0} = 1.3621338 \ \text{DVT1} = 0.3845146 \ \text{DVT2} = 0.0577255 \ \text{U0} = 259.5304169 \\ \text{UA} = -1.413292\text{E} = 9 \ \text{UB} = 2.229959\text{E-18} \ \text{UC} = 4.525942\text{E-11} \ \text{VSAT} = 9.411671\text{E4} \ \text{A0} = 1.7572867 \ \text{AGS} = 0.3740333 \\ \text{B0} = -7.087476\text{E} = 9 \ \text{B1} = -1\text{E} = 7 \ \text{KETA} = -4.331915\text{E} = 3 \ \text{A1} = 0 \ \text{A2} = 1 \ \text{RDSW} = 111.886044 \ \text{PRWG} = 0.5 \\ \text{PRWB} = -0.2 \ \text{WR} = 1 \ \text{WINT} = 0 \ \text{LINT} = 1.701524\text{E} - 8 \ \text{XL} = 0 \ \text{XW} = -1\text{E} - 8 \ \text{DWG} = -1.365589\text{E} - 8 \ \text{DWB} = 1.045599\text{E} - 8 \\ \text{VOFF} = -0.0927546 \ \text{NFACTOR} = 2.4494296 \ \text{CIT} = 0 \ \text{CDSC} = 2.4\text{E} - 4 \ \text{CDSCD} = 0 \ \text{CDSCB} = 0 \ \text{ETA0} = 3.175457\text{E} - 3 \\ \text{ETAB} = 3.494694\text{E} - 5 \ \text{DSUB} = 0.0175288 \ \text{PCLM} = 0.7273497 \ \text{PDIBLC1} = 0.1886574 \ \text{PDIBLC2} = 2.617136\text{E} - 3 \\ \text{PDIBLCB} = -0.1 \ \text{DROUT} = 0.7779462 \ \text{PSCBE1} = -3.48238\text{E10} \ \text{PSCBE2} = 6.841553\text{E} - 10 \ \text{PVAG} = 0.0162206 \\ \text{DELTA} = 0.01 \ \text{RSH} = 6.5 \ \text{MOBMOD} = 1 \ \text{PRT} = 0 \ \text{UTE} = -1.5 \ \text{KT1} = -0.11 \ \text{KT1L} = 0 \ \text{KT2} = 0.022 \ \text{UA1} = 4.31\text{E} - 9 \\ \text{UB1} = -7.61\text{E} - 18 \ \text{UC1} = -5.6\text{E} - 11 \ \text{AT} = 3.3\text{E4} \ \text{WL} = 0 \ \text{WLN} = 1 \ \text{WWL} = 0 \ \text{LLN} = 1 \ \text{LW} = 0 \ \text{LWN} = 1 \ \text{LW} = 0 \ \text{CAPMOD} = 2 \ \text{XPART} = 0.5 \ \text{CGDO} = 8.53\text{E} - 10 \ \text{CGSO} = 8.53\text{E} - 10 \ \text{CGBO} = 1\text{E} - 12 \\ \text{CJ} = 9.513993\text{E} - 4 \ \text{PB} = 0.8 \ \text{MJ} = 0.3773625 \ \text{CJSW} = 2.600853\text{E} - 10 \ \text{PSW} = 0.8157101 \ \text{MJSW} = 0.1004233 \ \text{CJ} = 0 \ \text{VTH0} = -8.863347\text{E} - 4 \ \text{PDSW} = -3.6877287 \\ \text{PK2} = 3.730349\text{E} - 4 \ \text{WKETA} = 6.284186\text{E} - 3 \ \text{LKETA} = -0.1016193 \ \text{PU} = -6.6114107 \ \text{PUA} = 6.572846\text{E} - 11 \\ \text{PUB} = 0 \ \text{PVSA} = 1.112243\text{E} 3$				
PMOS	$\begin{array}{l} \text{FOB=0} \ \text{FVSA1}=1.11224253 \ \text{FE1A0=}.10029082-4 \ \text{FKE1A=}-2.3000376-3 \\ \text{LEVEL=7} \ \text{VERSION=3.1} \ \text{TNOM=27} \ \text{TOX=}4.1E-9 \ \text{X}J=1E-7 \ \text{NCH=}4.1589E17 \ \text{VTH0}=-0.3948389 \\ \text{K1=}0.5763529 \ \text{K2}=0.0289236 \ \text{K3}=0 \ \text{K3B}=13.8420955W0=1E-6 \ \text{NLX=}1.337719E-7 \ \text{DVT0W=0} \\ \text{DVT1W=0} \ \text{DVT2} \ \text{W=0} \ \text{DVT0}=0.5281977 \ \text{DVT1}=0.2185978 \ \text{DVT2}=0.1 \ \text{U0}=109.9762536 \ \text{UA}=1.325075E-9 \\ \text{UB}=1.577494E-21 \ \text{UC}=-1E-10 \ \text{VSAT}=1.910164E5 \ \text{A0}=1.7233027 \ \text{AGS}=0.3631032 \ \text{B0}=2.336565E-7 \\ \text{B1}=5.517259E-7 \ \text{KETA}=0.0217218 \ \text{A1}=0.3935816 \ \text{A2}=0.401311 \ \text{RDSW}=252.7123939 \ \text{PRWG}=0.5 \\ \text{PRWB}=0.0158894 \ \text{WR}=1 \ \text{WINT=0} \ \text{LINT}=2.718137E-8 \ \text{XL}=0 \ \text{XW}=-1E-8 \ \text{DWG}=-4.36393E-8 \\ \text{DWB}=8.876273E-10 \ \text{VOFF}=-0.0942201 \ \text{NFACTOR}=2 \ \text{CIT=0} \ \text{CDSC}=2.4E-4 \ \text{CDSCD=0} \ \text{CDSCB=0} \\ \text{ETA0}=0.2091053 \ \text{ETAB}=-0.1097233 \ \text{DSUB}=1.2513945 \ \text{PCLM}=2.199615 \ \text{PDIBLC1}=1.238047E-3 \\ \text{PDIBLC2}=0.0402861 \ \text{PDIBLCB}=-1E-3 \ \text{DROUT}=0 \ \text{PSCBE1}=1.034924E10 \ \text{PSCBE2}=2.991339E-9 \\ \text{PVAG}=15 \ \text{DELTA}=0.01 \ \text{RSH}=7.5 \ \text{MOBMOD}=1 \ \text{PRT}=0 \ \text{UTE}=-1.5 \ \text{KT1}=-0.11 \ \text{KT1}=0 \ \text{KT2}=0.022 \\ \text{UA1}=4.31E-9 \ \text{UB1}=-7.61E-18 \ \text{UC1}=-5.6E-11 \ \text{AT}=3.3E4 \ \text{WL}=0 \ \text{WLN}=1 \ \text{WW}=0 \ \text{WW}=1 \ \text{WWL}=0 \\ \text{LL}=0 \ \text{LLN}=1 \ \text{LW}=0 \ \text{LW}=1 \ \text{LW}=0 \ \text{CAPMOD}=2 \ \text{ZPART}=0.5 \ \text{CGDO}=6.28E-10 \ \text{CGSO}=6.28E-10 \\ \text{CGBO}=1E-12 \ \text{CJ}=1.160855E-3 \ \text{PB}=0.8484374 \ \text{MJ}=0.4079216 \ \text{CJSW}=2.306564E-10 \ \text{PSW}=0.842712 \\ \text{MJSW}=0.3673317 \ \text{CJ}=3 \ \text{WETA}=-0.0355444 \ \text{LKETA}=-3.037019E-3 \ \text{PU}=-1.0227548 \\ \text{PUA}=-4.36707E-11 \ \text{PUB}=1E-21 \ \text{PVSAT}=-50 \ \text{PETA}0=1E-4 \ \text{PKETA}=-5.167295E-3 \ \text{AT}=3.3E4 \ \text{WL}=0 \\ \text{WLN}=1 \ \text{WW}=0 \ \text{WWN}=1 \ \text{WL}=0 \ \text{LL}=1 \ \text{LW}=0 \ \text{LW}=1 \ \text{LW}=0 \ \text{ZPART}=0.5 \\ \text{CGDO}=6.28E-10 \ \text{CGSO}=6.28E-10 \ \text{CGSO}=6.28E-10 \ \text{CGSO}=6.28E-10 \ \text{CGSO}=6.28E-10 \ \text{CSD}=2.2E-10 \ \text{PSW}=0.3673317 \ \text{CJ}=0 \ \text{PVTH}=2.619929E-3 \\ \text{PUA}=-4.36707E-$				



Fig. 4: OTRA implemented using two commercially available AD844 type CFOA ICs [22] and [27].

Tab. 3: Aspect ratios of the various MOSFETs for the circuit of Fig. 3 [39].

Transistor	$W(\mu m)/L(\mu m)$
M ₁ -M ₃	36/0.9
M ₄	3.6/0.9
M_5, M_6	10.8/0.9
M ₇	3.6/0.9
M ₈ -M ₁₁	18/0.9
M_{12}, M_{13}	36/0.9
M14	18/0.36



Fig. 5: Frequency Response of the Inverse Low Pass Filter.



Fig. 6: Frequency Response of the Inverse High Pass Filter.

[11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17] and [18] and thus, establish the workability of the proposed configuration.



Fig. 7: Frequency Response of the Inverse Band Pass Filter.

The magnitude of percentage errors in the various filter parameters have been calculated for the component values as taken for SPICE simulations as $C_1 = C_2 =$ $C_4 = C_5 = 100 \text{ pF}, R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = 10 \text{ k}\Omega$ and $C_p = 0.4 \text{ pF}$. With the above choice of component values, the percentage errors in Q_0 due to the effect of parasitics, from the Eq. (20), Eq. (24), Eq. (29), Eq. (30) and Eq. (31) respectively, have been found to be not more than 1.5 %.

The percentage error between theoretical and the simulated results have been found to be nearly of the same order as those calculated.

The Monte-Carlo analysis of the three inverse filters has been carried out and the results have been included in Fig. 8, Fig. 9 and Fig. 10.



Fig. 8: Monte Carlo Analysis of ILPF showing variation of gain w.r.t. 5 % variation in resistance value.

The workability of all the proposed inverse filters has been also confirmed with hardware implementations using each OTRA being realized by two AD844 ICs (reproduced here in Fig. 4 as in [22] and [27]). The component values taken in hardware implementations were $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = 1 \text{ k}\Omega$ and $C_1 = C_2 = C_4 = C_5 = 1 \text{ nF}$ which results in the following value of filter parameters: cut-off frequency $f_0 = 159 \text{ KHz}, Q_0 = 1 \text{ and } H_0 = 1.$



Fig. 9: Monte Carlo Analysis of IHPF showing variation of quality factor w.r.t. 5 % variation in resistance value.



Fig. 10: Monte Carlo Analysis of IBPF showing variation of center frequency w.r.t. 5 % variation in resistance value.



Fig. 11: Frequency Response of ILPF.

SPICE simulation results of Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, and the hardware results of Fig. 11, Fig. 12 and Fig. 13, thus, establish the workability of the proposed circuits.

In conclusion, a good correspondence has been found between theoretical results and SPICE results as well as between theoretical results and experimental results.



Fig. 12: Frequency Response of IBPF.



Fig. 13: Frequency Response of IHPF.

7. Conclusion

Among numerous alternative building blocks investigated in analog circuit literature over the last three decades, the OTRA has been prominently employed in the realization of numerous analog signal processing/signal generation applications in recent years. However, the use of OTRA in the realization of inverse filters had not been investigated earlier.

This paper fills this void by proposing a two-OTRAbased generalized configuration from which low-pass, band-pass and high-pass filters can be realized as special cases by judicious choices (resistive/capacitive) of various circuit admittances. The circuits have been analyzed in detail taking into account the various non-ideal parameters of the OTRA and the workability of the derived specific inverse filters has been confirmed by SPICE simulations using an exemplary CMOS OTRA architecture implemented in 0.18 μ m CMOS technology, as well as by OTRAs implemented with AD844 ICs. Centre/cut-off frequencies of the order of 1.59 MHz in case of the former and of the order of 159 kHz in the case of latter have been found to be realisable. The present paper, thus, adds a new application of the OTRA (namely, the realization of inverse filters) to the existing repertoire of its applications known earlier in [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39], [40] and [41], and the references cited therein.

Acknowledgment

This work was performed at the Analog Signal Processing Research Lab of NSIT, New Delhi.

References

- LEUCIUC. A. Using nullors for realisation of inverse transfer functions and characteristics. *Electronics Letters*. 1997, vol. 33, iss. 11, pp. 949–951. ISSN 0013-5194. DOI: 10.1049/el:19970637.
- [2] CHIPIPOP. B. and W. SURAKAMPON-TORN. Realisation of current-mode FTFNbased inverse filter. *Electronics Letters*. 1999, vol. 35, iss. 9, pp. 690–692. ISSN 0013-5194. DOI: 10.1049/el:19990495.
- [3] WANG, H. Y. and C. T. LEE. Using nullors for the realization of FTFN based Inverse filters. *Elec*tronics Letters. 1999, vol. 35, iss. 22, pp. 1889– 1890. ISSN 0013-5194. DOI: 10.1049/el:19991336.
- [4] ABUELMA'ATTI, M. T. Identification of Cascadable Current-Mode Filters and Inverse-Filters Using Single FTFN. *Frequenz*. 2000, vol. 54, iss. 11–12, pp. 284–289. ISSN 2191-6349. DOI: 10.1515/FREQ.2000.54.11-12.284.
- [5] GUPTA, S. S., D. R. BHASKAR, R. SENANI and A. K. SINGH. Identification of Cascadable Current-Mode Filters and Inverse-Filters Using Single FTFN. *Frequenz.* 2000, vol. 54, iss. 11–12, pp. 284–289. ISSN 2191-6349. DOI: 10.1515/FREQ.2000.54.11-12.284.
- [6] GUPTA, S. S., D. R. BHASKAR and R. SENANI. New analogue Inverse filters realized with current feedback op-amp. *International journal of Electronics*. 2011, vol. 98, iss. 8, pp. 1103–1113. ISSN 0020-7217. DOI: 10.1080/00207217.2010.547812.
- [7] PANDEY, R., N. PANDEY, T. NEGI and V. GARG. CDBA based universal inverse filter. *ISRN Electronics*. 2013, vol. 2013, iss. 1, pp. 1–6.
 ISSN 2090-8679. DOI: 10.1155/2013/181869.

- [8] WANG, H. Y., S. H. CHANG, T. Y. YANG and P. Y. TSAI. A Novel Multifunction CFOA-Based Inverse Filter. *Circuits and Systems*. 2011, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 14–17. ISSN 2153-1293. DOI: 10.4236/cs.2011.21003.
- [9] SHAH, N. A., M. QUADRI and S. Z. IQBAL. High output impedance current-mode allpass inverse filter using CDTA. *Indian Journal of pure* and applied physics. 2008, vol. 46, iss. 12, pp. 893– 896. ISSN 0019-5596.
- [10] SHAH, N. A. and M. F. RATHER. Realization of voltage-mode CCII-based all pass filter and its inversion version. *Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Physics.* 2006, vol. 44, iss. 3, pp. 269–271. ISSN 0975-1041.
- [11] HERENCSAR, N., A. LAHIRI, J. KOTON and K. VRBA. Realizations of Second-Order Inverse Active Filters Using Minimum Passive Components and DDCCs. In: 33rd International Conference on Telecommunications and Signal Processing (TSP 2010). Baden near Vienna: Asszisztencia Szervezo Kft., 2010, pp. 38–41. ISBN 978-963-88981-0-4.
- [12] GARG, K., R. BHAGAT and B. JAINT. A Novel Multifunction modified CFOA based Inverse filter. In: *IEEE 5th India International Conference on Power Electronics (IICPE)*. Delhi: IEEE, 2012, pp. 1–5. ISBN 978-1-4673-0934-9. DOI: 10.1109/IICPE.2012.6450471.
- [13] LEUCIUC. A. The realization of inverse system for circuits containing nullors with applications in chaos synchronization. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications.* 1998, vol. 26, iss. 1, pp. 1–12. ISSN 1097-007X. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-007X(199801/02)26:1<1::AID-CTA989>3.0.CO; 2-B.
- [14] TSUKUTANI, T., Y. SUMI and N. YABUKI. Electronically tunable inverse active filters employing OTAs and grounded capacitors. *International Journal of Electronics Letters*. 2016, vol. 4, iss. 2, pp. 166–176. ISSN 2168-1732. DOI: 10.1080/21681724.2014.984636.
- [15] PATIL, V. N. and R. K. SHARMA. Novel Inverse Active Filters employing CFOAs. *Interna*tional Journal for Scientific Research & Development. 2015, vol. 3, iss. 7, pp. 359–360. ISSN 2321-0613.
- [16] SHARMA, A., A. KUMAR and P. WHIG. On the performance of CDTA based novel analog Inverse Low Pass filter using 0.35 μm CMOS parameter. *International Journal of*

Science, Technology & Management. 2015, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 594–601. ISSN 2394-1537. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.1525.2002.

- [17] YUCE, E., S. TOKAT, S. MINAEI and O. CICEKOGLU. Low-Component-Count Insensitive Current-Mode and Voltage-Mode PID, PI and PD Controllers. *Frequenz.* 2006, vol. 60, iss. 3–4, pp. 65–70. ISSN 2191-6349. DOI: 10.1515/FREQ.2006.60.3-4.65.
- [18] NASIR, A. R. and S. N. AHMED. A New Current-Mode Multifunction Inverse Filter Using CDBAs. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security. 2013, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 50– 52. ISSN 1947-5500.
- [19] SALAMA, K. N. and A. M. SOLIMAN. Active RC Applications of the Operational Transresistance Amplifier. *Frequenz.* 2000, vol. 54, iss. 7–8, pp. 171–176. ISSN 2191-6349. DOI: 10.1515/FREQ.2000.54.7-8.171.
- [20] SALAMA, K. N. and A. M. SOLIMAN. Third Order Universal Filter Using Single Operational Transresistance Amplifier. *AEU-Archiv fur Elektronik und Ubertragungstechnik.* 1999, vol. 53, iss. 1, pp. 49–52. ISSN 0001-1096.
- [21] SALAMA, K. N. and A. M. SOLIMAN. Novel oscillators using the operational transresistance amplifier. *Microelectronics Journal*. 2000, vol. 31, iss. 1, pp. 39–47. ISSN 0026-2692. DOI: 10.1016/S0026-2692(99)00087-7.
- [22] CAM, U. A Novel Single-Resistance-Controlled Sinusoidal Oscillator Employing Single Operational Transresistance Amplifier. Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing. 2002, vol. 32, iss. 2, pp. 183–186. ISSN 1573-1979. DOI: 10.1023/A:1019586328253.
- [23] GUPTA. A., R. SENANI, D. R. BHASKAR and A. K. SINGH. OTRA-based Grounded-FDNR and Grounded-Inductance Simulators and Their Applications. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing.* 2012, vol. 31, iss. 2, pp. 489–499. ISSN 1531-5878. DOI: 10.1007/s00034-011-9345-2.
- [24] KACAR, F., U. CAM, O. CICEKOGLU, H. KUNTMAN and A. KUNTMAN. New parallel Immittance simulator realizations employing a single OTRA. In: 45th Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS-2002). Tulsa: IEEE, 2003, pp. 303–306. ISBN 0-7803-7523-8. DOI: 10.1109/MWSCAS.2002.1187217.
- [25] CAM, U., F. KACAR, O. CICEKOGLU, H. KUNTMAN and A. KUNTMAN. New parallel Immittance simulator realizations employing a single OTRA. AEU - International Journal of Electronics and Communications. 2003,

VOLUME: 15 | NUMBER: 5 | 2017 | DECEMBER

vol. 57, iss. 4, pp. 287–290. ISSN 1434-8411. DOI: 10.1078/1434-8411-54100173.

- [26] HOU, C. L., H. C. CHIEN and Y. K. LO. Novel Two OTRA-Based Grounded Immitance Simulator Topologies. Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing. 2004, vol. 39, iss. 2, pp. 169–175. ISSN 1573-1979. DOI: 10.1023/B:ALOG.0000024064.73784.58.
- [27] CAM, U., F. KACAR, O. CICEKOGLU, H. KUNTMAN and A. KUNTMAN. Squarewave generators employing OTRAs. *IEEE Proceedings - Circuits, Devices and Systems.* 2005, vol. 152, iss. 6, pp. 718–722. ISSN 1350-2409. DOI: 10.1049/ip-cds:20045167.
- [28] LO, Y. K. and H. C. CHIEN. Current-Mode monostable multivibrators using OTRAs. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs.* 2006, vol. 53, iss. 11, pp. 1274– 1278. ISSN 1558-3791. DOI: 10.1109/TC-SII.2006.882361.
- [29] LO, Y. K. and H. C. CHIEN. Switch-controllable OTRA-based square/triangular waveform generator. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs.* 2007, vol. 54, iss. 12, pp. 1110– 1114. ISSN 1558-3791. DOI: 10.1109/TC-SII.2007.905879.
- [30] PANDEY, R., N. PANDEY, B. SRIRAM and S. K. PAUL. Single OTRA based Analog Multiplier and its Applications. *International Scholarly Research Network Electronics*. 2012, vol. 2012, iss. 1, pp. 1–7. ISSN 2090-8679. DOI: 10.5402/2012/890615.
- [31] MOSTAFA, H. and A. M. SOLIMAN. A Modified CMOS Realization of the Operational Transresistance Amplifier (OTRA). *Frequenz*. 2006, vol. 60, iss. 3–4, pp. 70–77. ISSN 2191-6349. DOI: 10.1515/FREQ.2006.60.3-4.70.
- [32] CAM, U., C. CAKIR and O. CICEKOGLU. Noveltransimpedance Type First-Order All-Pass Filter Using Single Otra. AEU - International Journal of Electronics and Communications. 2004, vol. 58, iss. 1, pp. 296–298. ISSN 1434-8411. DOI: 10.1078/1434-8411-54100246.
- [33] CAKIR, C., U. CAM and O. CICEKOGLU. Novel all pass filter configuration employing single OTRA. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs.* 2005, vol. 52, iss. 3, pp. 122–125. ISSN 1558-3791. DOI: 10.1109/TC-SII.2004.842055.
- [34] CHEN, J. J., H. W. TSAO and C. C. CHEN. Operational transresistance amplifier using CMOS technology. *Electronics Letters*. 1992,

vol. 28, iss. 22, pp. 2087–2088. ISSN 0013-5194. DOI: 10.1049/el:19921338.

- [35] RIEWRUJA, V., J. PARNKLANG and A. JUL-PRAPA. Current tunable CMOS operational transresistance amplifier. In: *IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics Proceedings (ISIE 2001)*. Pusan: IEEE, 2001, pp. 1328–1332. ISBN 0-7803-7090-2. DOI: 10.1109/ISIE.2001.931674.
- [36] DURUK, A. and H. KUNTMAN. A new CMOS Differential OTRA Design for the Low Voltage Power Supplies in the Sub-Micron Technologies. *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences*. 2005, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 23–37. ISSN 1303-6203. DOI: 10.1049/el:19921338.
- [37] DURUK, A., E. O. GUNES and H. KUNTMAN. A new low voltage CMOS differential OTRA for sub-micron technologies. AEU - International Journal of Electronics and Communications. 2007, vol. 61, iss. 5, pp. 291–299. ISSN 1434-8411. DOI: 10.1016/j.aeue.2006.05.009.
- [38] KAFRAWY, A. K. and A. M. SOLIMAN. A modified CMOS differential operational transresistance amplifier (OTRA). AEU - International Journal of Electronics and Communications. 2009, vol. 63, iss. 12, pp. 1067–1071. ISSN 1434-8411. DOI: 10.1016/j.aeue.2008.08.003.
- [39] NAGAR, B. C. and S. K. PAUL. Lossless grounded FDNR simulator and its application using OTRA. Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing. 2017, vol. 92, iss. 3, pp. 507–517. ISSN 0925-1030. DOI: 10.1007/s10470-017-1021-4.
- [40] PANDEY, R., N. PANDEY, S. CHITRAN-SHI and S. K. PAUL. Operational Transresistance Amplifier Based PID Controller. Advances in Electrical and Electronic Engineering. 2015, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 171–181. ISSN 1804-3119. DOI: 10.15598/aeee.v13i2.1164.
- [41] PANDEY, R., S. CHITRANSHI, N. PANDEY and C. SHEKHAR. Single OTRA based PD Controllers. International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology. 2012, vol. 4, iss. 4, pp. 1426–1437. ISSN 2215-0986. DOI: 10.15598/aeee.v13i2.1164.
- [42] GUPTA. A., R. SENANI, D. R. BHASKAR and A. K. SINGH. Single OTRA based PD Controllers. *International Scholarly Research Network Electronics.* 2013, vol. 2013, iss. 1, pp. 1–10. ISSN 2090-8679. DOI: 10.1155/2013/907597.

About Authors

Abdhesh Kumar SINGH received his M.Tech. in Electronics and Communication Engineering from IASE deemed University Sadarsahar and Ph.D. in the area of Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing, from Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology (NSIT), University of Delhi. He is currently Professor in Electronics and Communication Engineering Department at Delhi Technical Campus, Greater Noida, India. His teaching and research interests are in the areas of Analog Electronics, Signals and Systems, Filter design, Analog Integrated Circuits, DSP and VLSI design. He has authored/co-authored over 40 papers in refereed international journals (over 800 citations with h-index of 14).

Ashish GUPTA was born in Bareilly, India on 19 October 1974. He received his B.Tech. from KNIT, Sultanpur in 1998, MBA with dual specialization in Finance and International Business in 2000 and earned his M.Tech. in VLSI Design from Uttar Pradesh Technical University, Lucknow in 2007. He has completed his Ph.D. from Jamia Millia Islamia University, New Delhi (a Central University) from the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering and Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi. His area of research was Signal Processing/Generation Circuits using Operational Trans-resistance Amplifiers suitable for Analog VLSI Implementation. He has more than 16 years of teaching experience in various under graduate and post graduate courses. He has published more than 10 research papers in reputed International Journals and Conferences. He is a life member of ISTE and a member of IEEE.

Raj SENANI (Senior Member IEEE and Fellow of National Academy of Sciences, India) obtained the B.Sc. in Science in 1966, B.Sc. in Elect Engineering in 1971, M.E. (with honors) in 1974 and Ph.D. in electrical engineering, in 1988. He is working as a Professor in ECE at NSIT, since 1990 and has been Dean Postgraduate Studies and Research, Dean Administration and Dean Academic earlier. He served as Director, NSIT, a number of times; last tenure being 2008–2014. He has authored/co-authored over 150 papers in refereed international journals (with over 4500 citations with h-index of 38). He is an Editorial reviewer for over 30 international journals including several of IEEE and IEE. He served as Editor-in-Chief of IETE Journal of Education during 2012–2017 and has been an Associate Editor for Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing, Birkhauser-Boston (USA) since 2003. He was awarded 25th Khwarizmi International Award in 2012. His biography has been included in Marquis Who's Who (USA) and several others.